By Armand Tanzarian

Bitcoin History: Pre-Blockchain Digital Currencies

For anyone not involved in mid-90s cypherpunk scenes or early e-cash projects, the term “digital currency” probably never came up in conversation until quite recently, after the advent of Bitcoin.

But Satoshi’s white paper (https://bitcoin.org/bitcoin.pdf) did not invent digital money; that’s an idea as old as mainstream internet usage itself. Bitcoin, and the altcoins it spawned, just happened to be so revolutionary that all those electronic currencies pre-2009 get overshadowed.

It’s like the Christian calendar. There is before-Bitcoin (BB), and then there is the current era, (AB).

Let’s take a look at some pre-Bitcoin technologies to get an idea of how far cryptocurrencies have come since.
Banking Giants Start to Use Blockchain Technology to Backup Data & Process Transactions

BEFORE BITCOIN ERA

1990 - David Chaum applied the idea of blind signatures to money

1996 - E-gold slowly built a successful operation through the late 90’s.

1998 - Beenz was a currency created to incentivize specific behavior in users

1999 - Flooz.com had a similar name and similar model to Beenz

1999 - InternetCash.com had a monetary system based on prepaid cards

2008 - Satoshi Nakamoto published the Bitcoin White Paper

1990: DigiCash

In 1982, cryptographer David Chaum applied the idea of blind signatures (en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Blind_signature) to money in his paper "Blind Signature for Untraceable Payments (www.hit.bme.hu/~buttyan/courses/BMEVIHIM219/2009/Chaum.BlindSigForPayment.1982.PDF)." Eight years later, he took these cryptographic protocols to market with DigiCash, a company that ultimately went bankrupt in 1998.

1996: E-Gold

E-Gold sounded like a fine idea at the time: Create an account, send in your gold or silver, and your account would be credited. Those credits could then be easily transferred among accounts. The company slowly built a successful operation through the late 90s.

By 2001, E-Gold was running into problems, however. The US Patriot Act, first of all, tightened regulations on businesses that could be classified as money transmitters. Gaining money transmitter licenses for all 50 states proved too big of a hassle for E-Gold and its competitors.

Furthermore, a campaign began to grow against E-Gold that marked it as the currency of money launderers and child pornographers. A federal indictment followed in 2005, which marked the end of E-Gold as a meaningful alternative currency.

1998: Beenz.com

Beenz was a currency created to incentivize behavior such as visiting specific websites, logging on through specific ISPs or shopping at certain stores. This was back before the dot-com bubble burst, when bored teenagers could take online quizzes, and marketing companies would send them free stuff in the mail.

But the fetten Jahren ran their course, and Beenz.com was gone by 2001.

1999: Flooz.com

Flooz had a similar name and similar model to Beenz: Users were rewarded for activity with flooz, which served as a medium of exchange among its network of partners. Like Beenz, also, Flooz went bust in the dot-com crash.

1999: InternetCash.com

InternetCash.com filed a number of patents to protect its monetary system based on prepaid cards, and it also relied on a network of participating merchants where that cash could be redeemed. The company ultimately raised $10 million in funding and had a staff of about 70 employees before the dot-com crash forced the company to close in August 2001.

After 2001, when economic realities hit many internet startups hard, digital money never really caught on again, beyond some niche users, until Nakamoto published the Bitcoin white paper in 2008. Of course, it took a few years for most of us in the cryptocurrency community to catch on, at which point cryptocurrencies took off far beyond what their predecessors did.

We asked some community experts what present feature or present reality in cryptocurrency tech today we will find funny and old-fashioned in 15 years or so?

Aleksey Bragin (cointelegraph.com/news/111837/aleksey_bragin): “So many useless (or sometimes funny, as DogeCoin for example) alt cryptocurrency clones emerged so quickly. That would go out of fashion quicker than within 15 years, I suppose.”

Gideon Gallasch (coinsulting.eu): “I think mining - so much power and electricity is not sustainable long term.”

Lech Wilczyński (Co-Founder/CEO / Developer at InPay S.A.): “Centralized exchanges.”

J. Ryan Conley (CEO & Founder at Ryan Conley Marketing & Training and CEO & Founder at Team Extreme Worldwide): "That the banks were last to catch on to this awesome concept! Staged viral video marketing platform."


Banking Giants Start to Use Blockchain Technology to Backup Data & Process Transactions

$82 bln banking giant Morgan Stanley and leading financial institution Bank of New York Mellon (BNY Mellon) are utilizing Blockchain technology-based platforms to maintain backup records and process transactions.

Earlier this week, several publications including Business Insider obtained a note from Morgan Stanley that explained the intricacies of its Blockchain platform such as its structure and protocol. Short for Broker Dealer Service 360, the BDS360 Blockchain platform of Morgan Stanley has been operating as a duplicate backup ledger to the settlement layer of Morgan Stanley's banking infrastructure.

According to Morgan Stanley, the BDS360 Blockchain platform has been operating since March of 2016. Through the utilization of cryptographic signatures and timestamps, the bank relied on Blockchain technology to backup the settlement records of transaction and the transfer of assets managed by Morgan Stanley's financial network.
Morgan Stanley's note read:

“[Blockchain] provides a cost-effective way of adding extra layers of resiliency to the current platform. There is still work to be done to figure out the specifics of client interface. BNY Mellon would also need to engage in regulatory dialogues, and establish necessary standards and protocols. We think BNY Mellon is well positioned to take on those challenges, with ~85% market share in the [bond] space.”

At the moment, Morgan Stanley emphasized that Blockchain technology is not sufficiently flexible (https://cointelegraph.com/news/head-of-bundesbank-proposes-digital-currency-to-compete-with-bitcoin) to handle the settlement of millions of data points in real-time. Hence, banks including BNY Mellon are not utilizing Blockchain to significantly reduce operating costs as of yet. However, the utilization of Blockchain allowed major banks to add resiliency to their networks by securing transactions and settlement history with cryptographic evidence and timestamps.

The vast majority of international and cross-bank payments are processed through the SWIFT ledger and network. In order for Blockchain technology to replace SWIFT and establish itself as the technology for the settlement of transaction and assets, Morgan Stanley explained that a few more years of development will be required.

Leading banks and financial institutions (https://cointelegraph.com/news/kazakhstan-central-bank-to-sell-blockchain-based-bonds) are pioneering the development of Blockchain technology and Blockchain-based platforms with a long-term vision and strategy of eventually replacing the entire banking infrastructure with the Blockchain-based protocol.

Morgan Stanley believes that it is possible if banks can collaborate effectively with one another and introduce solutions to regulatory hurdles:

“Adoption of some form of Blockchain technology by incumbents is likely. Given the amount of collaboration required, we expect it could take several years to replace existing back office functions.”

Many banks apart from Morgan Stanley and BNY Mellon such as BBVA and some of Japan’s largest banks have partnered with Blockchain projects such as Ripple that have their focus set on competing with the multi-trillion dollar financial network SWIFT.